

# South Carolina Regional Transmission Planning

# **Stakeholder Meeting**

Lake Murray Training Center

Lexington, SC

September 12, 2013







#### Purpose and Goals of Today's Meeting

- FERC Order 1000 Update
- Review and Discuss Annual TPL Results
  - Additions/Modifications/Cancelations
- Carolinas Off-Shore Wind Study (Economic Study #1)
- Review Assessment and Planning Studies
  - CTCA ERAG
  - SERC Other
- EIPC Stakeholder Group Activities







# FERC Order 1000 Transmission Planning and Cost Allocation

# **Clay Young**





- Background
  - Oct. 11, 2012: SCE&G filed revised Attachment K for Order 1000 Regional Compliance
  - FERC Order issued April 18, 2013
    - Found Att. K compliant in some areas and needing revisions in other areas
  - Oct. 15, 2013: revised Attachment K due
  - \* SCE&G will post the revised Attachment K for comment on the SCRTP website in advance of the Oct. 15 filing deadline





- Topics to be addressed in Oct. 15 filing:
  - Order 890 principles
    - SCE&G will clarify in its Att. K that certain Order 890 principles (Transparency, Information Exchange, and Comparability) apply to the Order 1000 process
  - Definition of regional projects
    - FERC instructed SCE&G to justify or remove the minimum criteria for regional projects
      - Intend to justify these as appropriate (*i.e.*, 230kV minimum)
      - Intend to remove requirement that a non-incumbent transmission developer must turn over functional control of the transmission project





- Topics to be addressed in Oct. 15 filing:
  - Scope of region and enrollment process
    - SCE&G will clarify in its Att. K how entities can make the choice to become part of the planning region
    - SCE&G will clarify in its Att. K that SCE&G and Santee Cooper are enrolled transmission providers in the region





- Topics to be addressed in Oct. 15 filing:
  - Transmission needs driven by public policy req.
    - SCE&G will revise Att. K to remove provision that transmission needs driven by public policy are only those needs that are currently unmet.
  - Affirmative obligation to plan
    - SCE&G will revise Att. K to clarify SCE&G's participation in identifying more cost-effective or efficient transmission solutions





- Topics to be addressed in Oct. 15 filing:
  - Who may submit proposals
    - SCE&G will revise Att. K to permit any entity, not only Developers, to propose a regional transmission project
  - Evaluation Criteria
    - SCE&G will revise Att. K to provide greater detail on the method it will use to evaluate and select transmission facilities in the regional plan for purposes of cost allocation





- Topics to be addressed in Oct. 15 filing:
  - Qualification Criteria
    - SCE&G will revise Att. K to emphasize that Developers may remedy any deficiencies with respect to submitted qualification criteria and project proposals
  - SCE&G will post its revised Attachment K for comment and review prior to filing with the Commission.





9



# FERC Order 1000

# Stakeholder Input, Comments and Questions







# Proposed Transmission Expansion Plan Changes

### SCE&G

# **Phil Kleckley**







- These projects represent the current transmission plans within the SCRTP footprint
- The expansion plan is continuously reviewed and may change due to changes in key data and assumptions
- This presentation does not represent a commitment to build







#### **SCE&G Planned Projects**



#### SCE&G Planned Projects 2013-2017 (Over \$2 Million)

Project	Tentative Completion Date	Expenditures as of December 31, 2012	Projected 2013	Projected 2014	Projected 2015	Projected 2016	Projected 2017		Total
lake Murray 230/115 kV Sub Add 2nd Autotransformer	May-13	519.043	4,780,957					Ś	5.300.000
Hagood Jct-Charlotte Street 115 kV Rebuild	May-13	7.404.771	2.095.229					Ś	9.500.000
Callawassie Convert from 46 kV to 115 kV	Aug-13	547.937	4.352.063					Ś	4.900.000
Belvedere-Stevens Ck 115 kV Rebuild as Double Circuit	Dec-13	4,142,439	1,557,561					\$	5,700,000
Faber Place Replace Switch House	Dec-13	2,647,971	552,029					\$	3,200,000
Graniteville-Replace 2-46 kV PRCBs & add 2-230 kV HS Bank PRCBs	Dec-13	1,766,377	333,623					\$	2,100,000
Hamlin-Isle of Palms 115 kV Underground Cable Construct	Dec-13	4,190,741	31,404,259					\$	35,595,000
Eutawville 115 kV Line Construct	Mar-14	423,823	2,250,000	TBD				\$	2,673,823
Bayview-Charlotte St 115 kV #2 Underground Cable Construct	May-14	427,606	4,672,394	TBD				\$	5,100,000
Bayview-Mt Pleasant 115 kV Line Rebuild	May-14	213,777		2,500,000				\$	2,713,777
VCS1-Killian 230 kV Line Construct	Dec-14	46,220,814	4,276,295	787,600				\$	51,284,709
Faber Place-Hagood 115 kV #1 Line Upgrade	Dec-14	218,353		2,000,000				\$	2,218,353
Hagood-Bee Street 115 kV Line Upgrade	Dec-14			2,500,000				\$	2,500,000
Denny Terrace - Lyles 230 kV Line Upgrade	Apr-15	592	363,718	2,680,975	2,538,236			\$	5,583,521
VCS2 - Lake Murray 230 kV #2 Line Construct	Apr-15	12,515,796	23,025,759	TBD				\$	35,541,555
Thomas Island - Jack Primus 115 kV Line Construct	May-15	146,180	4,100,000	TBD	TBD			\$	4,246,180
Aiken - Aiken Hampton 115 kV Line Section Upgrade	May-15	57,403		1,000,000	1,000,000			\$	2,057,403
Saluda Hydro-Williams St./McMeekin-Coit 115 kV Upgrade	May-15				2,000,000			\$	2,000,000
Burton - Yemassee 115 kV #2 Line Rebuild as Double Circuit	May-15	642,711		5,000,000	9,400,000			\$	15,042,711
Saluda River 230/115 kV Sub Construct	May-15		948,876	11,893,521	4,753,039			\$	17,595,436



A A A



#### SCE&G Planned Projects 2013-2017 (Over \$2 Million) Cont'd

	Project	Tentative Completion Date	Expenditures as of December 31, 2012	Projected 2013	Projected 2014	Projected 2015	Projected 2016	Projected 2017		Total
w	/illiams - Cainhoy 115 kV #1 Line Rebuild as Double Circuit	May-15			5,000,000	5,700,000			\$	10,700,000
M	IcMeekin Replace Switch House	Dec 15	-	_	<del>1,000,000</del>	<del>1,500,000</del>	-	_		_
Sa	aluda Hydro-Bush River (Duke Tie) 115kV #1 & #2 Partial Upgrade	Apr-16					5,000,000		\$	5,000,000
U	rquhart - Graniteville 230 kV #2 Line Construct	May-16				9,000,000	9,500,000		\$	18,500,000
Su	ummerville upgrade 230/115 kV Autobank	May-16					4,250,000		\$	4,250,000
CI	hurch Creek upgrade 230/115 kV Autobank	May-16					5,400,000		\$	5,400,000
M	lt Pleasant-Osceola Park Tap 115 kV Upgrade	May-16				400,000	2,900,000		\$	3,300,000
о	katie 230/115 kV Sub Construct and Line Upgrades	May-16					8,650,000		\$	8,650,000
D	enny Terrace-Lyles 115 kV #1 Line Upgrade	May-16					2,500,000		\$	2,500,000
U	rquhart Replace Switch House	Dec-16	2,081,804		200,000	1,000,000	200,000		\$	3,481,804
Ca	ainhoy - Hamlin/Mt. Pleasant 115 kV Rebuild as Double Circuit	Dec-16					2,000,000		\$	2,000,000
Q	ueensboro 115 kV Switching Station Construct	Dec-16					4,000,000		\$	4,000,000
Ŵ	/illiams Replace Switch House	Dec-16			500,000	500,000	1,000,000		\$	2,000,000
St	. George 230 kV Switching Station Construct	Feb-17					5,000,000	5,000,000	\$	10,000,000
Pe	epperhill - Summerville 230 kV Construct	May-17	202,544			200,000	6,000,000		\$	6,402,544
Ca	anadys - St. George 230 kV upgrade	May-17			661,804	6,393,133	5,342,362	154,294	\$	12,551,593
St	George - Summerville 230 kV Rebuild as Double Circuit	May-17		23,241	8,363,592	14,639,422	9,488,189	93,310	\$	32,607,754
V	CS2 - St. George 230 kV #1 & #2 Construct	May-17	1,391,547	18,496,787	24,881,560	42,959,376	52,368,138	1,126,385	\$ 1	141,223,793
V	CS2 - St. George required 115 kV rebuilds	May-17	147,173		1,490,630	14,272,137	30,255,895	7,199,640	\$	53,365,475
Fa	aber Place-Hagood 115 kV #2 construct	Dec-17						5,450,000	\$	5,450,000
Lt	ake Murray Harbison 115 kV construct	May-18	_	_	_	_	<del>4,525,000</del>			
		Total:	\$ 85,965,413	\$103,232,791	\$ 71,459,682	\$124,255,343	\$158,379,584	\$ 19,023,629	\$ 5	555,291,442







#### Bayview-Charlotte St 115 kV #2 Underground Cable Construct

•Date change due to problems encountered during installation

•Installation delayed to May 31, 2014







McMeekin Replace Switch House

•Project canceled due to McMeekin 115 kV Switching Station retirement

•Decision was made to retire the switching station when the generation at McMeekin is no longer available







# Okatie 230/115 kV Sub Construct and Line Upgrades

•Project delayed due to Purrysburg (SC) – McIntosh (SOCO) 230 kV Double Circuit Construct

•Construction delayed to May 31, 2016







Cainhoy - Hamlin/Mt. Pleasant 115 kV Rebuild as Double Circuit

•This area is winter peaking and it was determined that the project is needed prior to 2017 winter

•Expedited date to 12/01/2016







Queensboro 115 kV Switching Station Construct

•This project was previously scheduled with an in service date of 05/31/2019

 Project expedited to 12/01/2016 to address system limits in the West Ashley/James Island area







#### Lake Murray-Harbison 115 kV Construct

•Load growth in the Irmo/Harbison area has slowed

•Project delayed to 05/31/2018







#### **Questions?**







# Proposed Transmission Expansion Plan Changes

# Santee Cooper

# **Rick Thornton**







# Transmission Network Completed Projects

- Orangeburg 230-115 kV Substation
- Pomaria 230-69 kV Substation
- Fold Newberry-VCS 230 kV into Pomaria
- Cane Bay Tap-Sangaree Tap 115 kV Line

06/2013 06/2013 06/2013 06/2013







#### **Transmission Network**

#### Active Projects

- Winnsboro 230-69 kV Substation
- VCS-Winnsboro 230 kV Line
- VCS-Pomaria #2 230 kV Line
- Richburg 230-69 kV Substation
- Bucksville 230-115 kV Substation
- Winnsboro-Richburg 230 kV Line
- Purrysburg 230-115 kV Substation
- Purrysburg-McIntosh 230 kV Line #2
- Winyah-Bucksville 230 kV Line



11/2013 12/2013 05/2014 06/2014 06/2014 08/2014 06/2015 06/2015 06/2015





#### **Transmission Network**

#### Active Projects

- Richburg-Flat Creek 230 kV Line
- Sandy Run 230-115 kV Substation
- Pomaria-Sandy Run 230 kV Line
- Sandy Run-Orangeburg 230 kV Line

10/2015 04/2016 05/2016 05/2017













#### VCS-Pomaria 230 kV Line #2









Purrysburg 230-115 kV Substation



Pomaria-Sandy Run-Orangeburg 230 kV Line

(521)

Richtex

Pomaria 230-69 kV Substation

26



Substation

(301)



### Transmission Network <u>Planned Projects</u>

- Marion-Red Bluff 230 kV Line
- Bucksville-Garden City 115 kV Line
- Wassamassaw 230-115 kV Substation
- Cross-Wassamassaw #2 230 kV Line

12/2015 06/2016 06/2019 06/2020











#### Wassamassaw 230-115 kV Substation





Reduced generation in the eastern region including:

- Grainger Units 1 & 2 Retirement
- Jefferies Units 3 & 4 Retirement
- Unavailability of Myrtle Beach GTs

Drives the need for additional transmission facilities to move power from west to east

- Dalzell-Lake City 230 kV Line
- Sandy Run-Pinewood 230 kV Line 05/2018





35

12/2012

12/2012

05/2017



#### Dalzell-Lake City 230 kV Line








#### Sandy Run-Pinewood 230 kV Line









# Proposed Transmission Expansion Plan Changes

# Stakeholder Input, Comments and Questions







# Carolinas Offshore Wind Transmission Study

# **Rick Thornton**















- Dissisterior

-an one or sole ( ) provide any ( ) ( )



#### 2000 MW injected into NC and SC

- NC: Progress Sutton North 230 kV Bus
- SC: SCPSA Red Bluff 230 kV Bus

#### Three study cases

- 2024 Summer
- 2024 Winter
- 2024 Shoulder







Three wind scenarios per case

- WS1: 1000 MW into NC, 1000 MW into SC
- WS2: 2000 MW into NC, 0 into SC
- WS3: 0 MW into NC, 2000 into SC







#### Potential SC Projects Identified

WS1

- SCEG None
- SCPSA Red Bluff Carolina Forest 230 kV Line #2 \$15,200,000

#### WS2

- SCEG None
- SCPSA Kingstree Hemingway 230 kV Line #2 \$33,000,000

#### WS3

- SCEG None
- SCPSA Red Bluff Carolina Forest 230 kV Line #2 \$15,200,000







# **Reliability Assessment Studies**

# **Glenn Stephens**







# **Multi-Party Assessments**

- Carolina Transmission Coordination Arrangement (CTCA) Assessments
- SERC Assessments
- ERAG Assessments
- Two Party or Multi-Party Assessments







#### **CTCA Future Year Assessments**









# **CTCA** Purpose

- Collection of agreements developed concurrently by the Principals, Planning Representatives, and Operating Representatives of multiple two-party Interchange Agreements
- Establishes a forum for coordinating certain transmission planning and assessment and operating activities among the specific parties associated with the CTCA







# **CTCA Purpose**

#### Interchange Agreements associated with the CTPCA

Duke Energy Carolinas ("Duke") and Duke Energy Progress ("Progress")
Duke Energy Carolinas ("Duke") and South Carolina Electric & Gas Company ("SCE&G")
Duke Energy Carolinas ("Duke") and South Carolina Public Service Authority ("SCPSA")
Duke Energy Progress ("Progress") and South Carolina Electric & Gas Company ("SCE&G")
Duke Energy Progress ("Progress") and South Carolina Public Service Authority ("SCPSA")
South Carolina Electric & Gas Company ("SCE&G") and South Carolina Public Service Authority ("SCPSA")







# **CTCA Power Flow Study Group**

- Duke Energy Carolinas ("Duke")
- Duke Energy Progress ("Progress")
- South Carolina Electric & Gas ("SCEG")
- South Carolina Public Service Authority ("SCPSA")







## CTCA Studies Purpose

- Assess the existing transmission expansion plans of Duke, Progress, SCEG, and SCPSA to ensure that the plans are simultaneously feasible.
- Identify any potential joint solutions that are more efficient or costeffective than individual company plans, which also improve the simultaneous feasibility of the Participant companies' transmission expansion plans.
- The Power Flow Study Group ("PFSG") will perform the technical analysis outlined in this study scope under the guidance and direction of the Planning Committee ("PC").







### CTCA Studies 2013 Scope

- NERC Reliability Standards, SERC Requirements, and individual company study criteria.
- Cases are developed with detailed internal models with current transmission expansion plans from each participating company.
- Generation down cases are developed from starting point cases with internal generation redispatch and Transmission Reserve Margin (TRM) import(s) implemented.







### CTCA Studies 2013 Scope (continued)

- Study results are obtained by use of PTI's MUST and Siemens PSS/E programs.
- Report on thermal loading(s) above 90% and voltage(s) violating individual company criteria.
- Study year will be 2019 Summer peak (2019S).







### CTCA Studies 2013 Schedule

- Planning Committee approved Scope document June 2013.
- Base Case development and revisions- June-July 2013.
- Individual companies run thermal and voltage assessments—August and early September 2013
- Draft report completed by September 2013.
- Final Report Published mid October 2013.







## **CTCA Studies**

# **Questions?**







# SERC Future Year Assessments Long Term Study Group (LTSG)





### SERC LTSG 2017 Summer Study Purpose

- Analysis of the performance of the members' transmission systems that identifies limits to power transfers occurring non-simultaneously among the SERC members.
- Analysis of the performance of the members' transmission systems under normal conditions and loss of a single element.







### SERC LTSG 2017 Summer Study Scope

- Assess the strength of the SERC interconnected network by determining its ability to support power transfers.
- NERC Reliability Standards and SERC Requirements.
- Case is developed by the SERC LTSG Modeling Group.







#### SERC LTSG 2017 Summer Study Scope (continued)

- Study results are obtained by use of PTI's MUST and Siemens PSS/E programs.
- Identify Significant Facilities under transfer analysis.
- Study scheduled to be completed and report published December 2013.







## SERC LTSG 2017 Summer Study Significant Facilities

- If the facility is a hard limit to a transfer
- The level at which it limits a transfer compared to the test level
- The response of the facility to the transfer
- The number of different transfers/companies impacted







## SERC LTSG 2017 Summer Study Significant Facilities (continued)

- If the facility requires the use of an operating guide
- If the outage of the facility results in the overload of numerous major transmission elements
- If an actual TLR has been called on the facility







## SERC LTSG 2017 Summer Study Variable Factors

- Load forecasts and generation availability
- Anticipated drought conditions in the SERC area
- Geographic distribution of load and generation







## SERC LTSG 2017 Summer Study Variable Factors (continued)

- Transmission system configuration
- Simultaneous inter-system power transfers
- Operation based on regional requirements to respect additional contingencies







## **SERC Assessments**

## **Questions?**







### **ERAG** Assessments

## **Glenn Stephens**











# SERC East-RFC-NPCC

- SERC East
  - ✓ VACAR (Duke, DVP, Progress, SCE&G, SCPSA)
  - ✓ Central (TVA, EON U.S., EKPC, BREC)
- Reliability First Corporation
  - ✓ PJM (Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Maryland)
  - ✓ MISO (Midwest Independent System Operator)







### SERC East-RFC-NPCC (CONT.)

- Northeast Power Coordinating Council
  - ✓ Northeast United States
  - ✓ Southeast Canada







# SERC East-RFC-NPCC Studies

- Seasonal and Near Term/Long Term Studies are to be prepared on a 4-year rotation.
- Rotation will consist of two assessments being performed each year.







# SERC East-RFC-NPCC Studies

- Year A
- Year B
- 2013 2013 Summer
- Summer Year C • Year C
- Year D Summer Year D Summer - Long Term
- 2013 2018 Summer



Summer Year A Winter/ Year A/Year B

- Summer Year B Summer Near Term
  - Near Term
    - Winter Year C/Year D

Long Term





# SERC East-RFC-NPCC

2013 Summer Transmission System Assessment Scope

- Develop 2013 summer base case with all scheduled firm capacity backed transactions
- Determine thermal regional and sub-regional FCITCs
- Determine FCITCs for regional and sub-regional transfers
- Study work completed Jan-March 2013
- Final Report issued May 2013







## SERC East-RFC-NPCC 2013 Summer Final Results

- No SCE&G facilities were identified to limit transfers in the 2013 Summer Assessment
- No Santee Cooper facilities were identified to limit transfers in the 2013 Summer Assessment.







# SERC East-RFC-NPCC

2018 Summer Transmission System Assessment Scope

- Develop 2018 summer base case with all scheduled firm capacity backed transactions
- Determine thermal regional and sub-regional FCITCs
- Determine FCITCs for regional and sub-regional transfers
- Study work completed July-October 2013
- Final Report issued November 2013






## ERAG Reliability Assessments

### **Questions?**







# Eastern Interconnection Planning Collaborative Stakeholder Update

# **Phil Kleckley**







### EIPC is requesting stakeholder input for 2018 and 2023 studies and process







### Schedule Guidelines and Principles Document

•EIPC to post any changes to <u>Guidelines and Principles</u> document by September 25, 2013

•Request <u>Guidelines and Principles</u> document stakeholder clarifying questions by October 18, 2013

•EIPC to post revisions to <u>Guidelines and Principles</u> document by November 29, 2013







### Schedule Roll Up Cases and Study Scenarios

•EIPC to distribute list of possible study scenarios by September 25, 2013

•EIPC to distribute report on roll-up cases, contingency results, transfer testing, and make cases available to those with CEII clearance by October 25, 2013

•EIPC Webinar on possible scenarios and roll-up case report on November 12, 2013 at 2:00 pm Eastern time







#### Schedule Roll Up Cases and Study Scenarios

•SCRTP to discuss stakeholder feedback on possible scenarios at next SCRTP meeting

•EIPC Webinar to discuss stakeholder feedback on possible scenarios on February 26, 2014

•Stakeholder final comments on scenarios due March 7, 2014







### Schedule Roll Up Cases and Study Scenarios

•EIPC to post final scenario descriptions and 2014 schedule by March 28, 2014

•EIPC Steady State Modeling Load Flow Working Group (SSMLFWG) to begin work on scenarios by March 31, 2014







### **EIPC Website**

#### Website: <u>www.eipconline.com</u>

#### Or go to <u>www.scrtp.com</u>





#### **From SCRTP Website**





#### Welcome

- contact us
- meetings
- reports
- base cases
- FERC orders
- sign up for e-mail alerts
- industry links

The South Carolina Regional Transmission Planning (SCRTP) process was established by South Carolina Electric & Gas Company (SCE&G) and the South Carolina Public Service Authority (Santee Cooper) to meet the transmission planning requirements of <u>FERC</u> <u>Order No. 890, 890-A</u> and <u>890-B</u>, orders designed to "prevent undue discrimination and preference in transmission service."

SCRTP provides information on:

#### Events

The next meeting of the SCRTP Stakeholder Group will be held on Thursday, Sept. 12, 2013 at the Lake Murray Training Center, Lexington, SC

register now





#### **From SCRTP Website**











Stakeholder Input

Send comments or questions to SCRTP mailbox, attention:

Philip Kleckley EIPC Stakeholder Subcommittee







### **Questions?**







## **Next SCRTP Meeting Activities**

# **Clay Young**







## **Next SCRTP Meeting**

- Update on Order 1000 (Regional and/or Interregional Requirements)
- Selection of Next Series of Economic Planning Studies (studies #2 through #5)
- Not Scheduled
- SCRTP Email Distribution List will be notified
- Register online







# South Carolina Regional Transmission Planning

# **Stakeholder Meeting**

Lake Murray Training Center

Lexington, SC

September 12, 2013



