

South Carolina Regional Transmission Planning Stakeholder Meeting

Hilton Garden Inn – Charleston Airport (Magnolia-Hunley Room)
5265 International Blvd
North Charleston, SC 29418

June 13, 2019 10:00 – 12:00







Purpose and Goals for Today's Meeting

- Review Economic Transmission Planning Principles
- Review past Economic Power Transfer Studies
- Identify Economic Power Transfer Sensitivities to be Studied
- Review Regional Planning Process Timeline
- Discussion on Multi-Party Assessment Studies







Economic Transmission Planning Power Transfer Sensitivities

Scott Parker







Economic Transmission Planning Principles

The purpose of Order 890's Economic Transmission Planning Principle is to:

- ensure that customers may request studies that evaluate potential upgrades or other investments that could reduce congestion or integrate new resources and loads on an aggregated or regional basis
- allow customers, not the transmission provider, to <u>identify those</u>
 <u>portions of the transmission system where they have encountered</u>
 <u>transmission problems due to congestion or whether they believe</u>
 <u>upgrades and other investments may be necessary to reduce</u>
 <u>congestion and to integrate new resources</u>







Economic Transmission Planning Principles

(continued)

 allow customers to request that the transmission provider study enhancements that could reduce such congestion or integrate new resources on an aggregated or regional basis without having to submit a specific request for service

This approach ensures that the economic studies required under this principle are focused on customer needs and concerns







- All requested sensitivities will be considered except sensitivities that specify specific generation resources
- Up to 5 sensitivities will be identified for study
- If more than 5 are requested, Stakeholder voting members will vote to select the top five
- Sensitivities that are not selected by the voting process as one of the 5 studied sensitivities will be studied only if the requestor(s) pays for the additional study efforts







 SCRTP economic power transfer sensitivity studies will identify congestion and required improvements only inside the SCRTP footprint







Stakeholder Group Sectors

- Transmission Owners/Operators
- Transmission Service Customers
 - PTP and Network
- Cooperatives
- Municipals
- Marketers
- Generation Owners/Developers
- ISO/RTO
- State Regulatory Representatives







Current Voting Stakeholder Group Members

- Cooperatives
 John Boyt, Central Electric
 Vacant
- Municipals
 Alan Loveless, City of Georgetown
 Vacant
- Network and PTP Transmission Customers
 J. W. Smith, Southeastern Power Administration
 Vacant







Current Voting Stakeholder Group Members

- Generation Owners / Developers
 Tim Daniels, Hudson Energy Development LLC
 Vacant
- Marketers
 Eddie Folsom, DESC Power Marketing
 Glenda Horne, Santee Cooper Power Marketing
- Transmission Owners
 Bob Pierce, Duke Energy-Carolinas
 Kerry Sibley, Georgia Transmission







Current Voting Stakeholder Group Members

• ISO / RTO

Vacant

Vacant







Economic Transmission Planning Power Transfer Sensitivities

Sensitivities Selection

Scott Parker







Previous Economic Planning Studies

| Year | Source | Sink | Study Year | Transfer |
|------|----------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|----------|
| 2014 | Duke Energy Carolinas (DEC) | Santee Cooper | 2015 Winter | 250 MW |
| 2014 | Offshore Wind Injection (115 kV) | Santee Cooper/SCE&G | 2019 Winter | 300 MW |
| 2014 | Southern Company | SCE&G | 2015 Summer | 300 MW |
| 2014 | SCE&G | Duke | 2019 Summer | 200 MW |
| 2015 | Southern Company | SCE&G | 2016 Winter | 300 MW |
| 2015 | Southern Company | SCE&G | 2018 Summer | 300 MW |
| 2015 | Duke Energy Carolinas (DEC) | SCE&G | 2018 Summer | 200 MW |
| 2015 | Southern Company | SCE&G | 2018 Winter | 350 MW |
| 2015 | Duke Energy Carolinas (DEC) | SCE&G | 2018 Winter | 250 MW |







Previous Economic Planning Studies

| Year | Source | Sink | Study Year | Transfer |
|------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|----------|
| 2016 | Southern Company | Santee Cooper | 2017 Winter | 500 MW |
| 2016 | Santee Cooper | GTC | 2017 Summer | 200 MW |
| 2016 | Santee Cooper | GTC | 2017 Winter | 200 MW |
| 2016 | Santee Cooper | CPLE (DEP) | 2017 Winter | 300 MW |
| 2016 | Southern Company | Santee Cooper/SCE&G | 2020 Summer | 500 MW |
| 2017 | Duke Energy Carolinas (DEC) | SCE&G | 2021 Summer | 300 MW |
| 2017 | Southern Company | SCE&G | 2020 Summer | 300 MW |
| 2017 | Southern Company | SCE&G | 2021 Winter | 300 MW |
| 2018 | Southern Company | Santee Cooper | 2022 Summer | 1000 MW |
| 2018 | Santee Cooper | Duke Energy Carolinas | 2022 Summer | 1000 MW |
| 2018 | Duke Energy Carolinas | Santee Cooper | 2022 Summer | 1000 MW |







Transmission Planning Base Cases 2018 MMWG and SERC Series

2019 Spring Light Load

2019 Summer Peak

2019/20 Winter Peak

2022 Spring Light Load

2022 Summer Peak

2022 Summer Shoulder

2022/23 Winter Peak

2027 Summer Peak

2027/28 Winter Peak







| Economic Sensitivity #1: | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Source Area: | |
| Sink Area: | |
| Transfer (MW): | |
| Study Year: | |
| Study Conditions: | |
| Other Information: | |
| Benefits of Study and | Market Transfer Analysis Limitations |
| Other Comments: | |







| Economic Sensitivity #2: | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Source Area: | |
| Sink Area: | |
| Transfer (MW): | |
| Study Year: | |
| Study Conditions: | |
| Other Information: | |
| Benefits of Study and Other Comments: | Market Transfer Analysis Limitations |







| Economic Sensitivity #3: | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Source Area: | |
| Sink Area: | |
| Transfer (MW): | |
| Study Year: | |
| Study Conditions: | |
| Other Information: | |
| Benefits of Study and | Market Transfer Analysis Limitations |
| Other Comments: | |







| Economic Sensitivity #4: | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Source Area: | |
| Sink Area: | |
| Transfer (MW): | |
| Study Year: | |
| Study Conditions: | |
| Other Information: | |
| Benefits of Study and Other Comments: | Market Transfer Analysis Limitations |





| Economic Sensitivity #5: | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Source Area: | |
| Sink Area: | |
| Transfer (MW): | |
| Study Year: | |
| Study Conditions: | |
| Other Information: | |
| Benefits of Study and Other Comments: | Market Transfer Analysis Limitations |







| Economic Sensitivity #6: | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Source Area: | |
| Sink Area: | |
| Transfer (MW): | |
| Study Year: | |
| Study Conditions: | |
| Other Information: | |
| Benefits of Study and Other Comments: | Market Transfer Analysis Limitations |







| Economic Sensitivity #7: | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Source Area: | |
| Sink Area: | |
| Transfer (MW): | |
| Study Year: | |
| Study Conditions: | |
| Other Information: | |
| Benefits of Study and Other Comments: | Market Transfer Analysis Limitations |





| Economic Sensitivity #8: | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Source Area: | |
| Sink Area: | |
| Transfer (MW): | |
| Study Year: | |
| Study Conditions: | |
| Other Information: | |
| Benefits of Study and Other Comments: | Market Transfer Analysis Limitations |





2019 Economic Planning Proposed Scenarios

| # | Source | Sink | Amount (MW) | Year | Study Conditions | Requestor |
|---|--------|------|-------------|------|---------------------|-----------|
| 1 | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |





2019 Economic Planning Scenarios Selected by Stakeholders During the June 13, 2019 Meeting

| # | Source | Sink | Amount (MW) | Year | Study Conditions |
|---|--------|------|-------------|------|------------------|
| 1 | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | |







SCRTP Regional and Inter-regional Processes

Scott Parker





SCRTP Regional and Public Policy Planning

- Biennial Process (currently in year 1, Meeting #3)
- Restarts in 4th quarter of even years
- Regional Projects Proposed, Evaluation and Selection
 - Must be submitted by January 15 of odd years
 - None received in current Regional Planning cycle

During this meeting:

- Stakeholders may submit comments on all proposed Regional Projects. Comments
 must be submitted by June 15. The Transmission Provider will post all comments on
 the SCRTP website
- The Transmission Providers will review proposed Regional Projects and Stakeholder comments







Multi-Party Studies

Jake Biddix







Multi-Party Assessments


- Carolina Transmission Coordination Arrangement (CTCA)
- SERC Reliability Corporation (SERC)
- Eastern Interconnection Planning Collaborative (EIPC)
- Eastern Interconnection Reliability Assessment Group (ERAG)







CTCA Future Year Assessments









CTCA Purpose

- Collection of agreements developed concurrently by the Principals, Planning Representatives, and Operating Representatives of multiple two-party Interchange Agreements
- Establishes a forum for coordinating certain transmission planning assessment and operating activities among the specific parties associated with the CTCA





CTCA Power Flow Study Group

- Duke Energy Carolinas ("Duke")
- Duke Energy Progress ("Progress")
- Dominion Energy South Carolina ("DESC")
- South Carolina Public Service Authority ("SCPSA")





CTCA Studies

- Assess the existing transmission expansion plans of Duke, Progress, DESC, and SCPSA to ensure that the plans are simultaneously feasible.
- Identify any potential joint solutions that are more efficient or costeffective than individual company plans, which also improve the simultaneous feasibility of the Participant companies' transmission expansion plans.
- The Power Flow Study Group ("PFSG"), performs the technical analysis outlined in this study scope under the guidance and direction of the Planning Committee ("PC").







CTCA Studies 2019 PFSG work activities


- 2019 TPL 001 Transmission Assessment Coordination
 - PFSG coordinates power flow cases, study files in 1st quarter 2019







SERC Future Year Assessments Long Term Working Group (LTWG)









SERC LTWG Study Purpose

- Analyze the performance of the members' transmission systems and identify limits to power transfers occurring nonsimultaneously among the SERC members.
- Evaluate the performance of bulk power supply facilities under both normal and contingency conditions for future years.
- Focus on the evaluation of sub-regional and company-tocompany transfer capability.







SERC Long Term Working Group 2019 Work Schedule

- LTWG Data Bank Update June 5-6 Hosted by DESC
- Future Assessment Study Case: 2024 Summer Peak Load
- Study to be completed by LTWG June thru October
- Final Report in December







Eastern Interconnection Planning Collaborative (EIPC) Assessments





EIPC Purpose

Established to facilitate the coordination of existing
 Planning Authorities transmission plans, conduct reliability
 analyses of the combined Eastern Interconnection, and
 conduct studies to support state, provincial, regional, or
 federal public policy decision-making.

PLANNING AUTHORITIES

- · ASSOCIATED ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE
- · CUBE HYDRO CAROLINAS
- · DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS
- DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA
- · DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS
- LGE/KU (LOUISVILLE/KENTUCKY UTILITIES)
- · FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT
- GEORGIA TRANSMISSION CORPORATION
- · ISO NEW ENGLAND
- · JEA (JACKSONVILLE, FL)

- MIDCONTINENT ISO
- MUNICIPAL ELECTRIC AUTHORITY OF GEORGIA
- NEW YORK ISO
- · PJM INTERCONNECTION
- · POWERSOUTH ENERGY COOPERATIVE
- · SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS
- SANTEE COOPER
- SOUTHERN COMPANY
- · SOUTHWEST POWER POOL
- TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY





EIPC Transmission Analysis Working Group (TAWG) 2019 Study Efforts

- Steady-State network models assembly and verification
- Perform Transfer analysis and Steady-State analysis
- Compile Analyses into Report







EIPC TAWG 2019 Work Schedule


- Case Development: February-March 2019
 - 2028 summer and 2028/29 winter peak
- AC analysis for model verification completed by April
- Linear Transfer and Steady State Analysis in April-May
- Compile Analyses into Report by June







Eastern Reliability Assessment Group (ERAG)









ERAG MMWG

The Multiregional Modeling Working Group (MMWG) is responsible for developing a library of solved power flow models and associated dynamics simulation models of the Eastern Interconnection.

The models are for use by the Regions and their member systems in planning future performance and evaluating current operating conditions of the interconnected bulk electric systems.







ERAG MMWG 2019 activity

- There are no activities at this time
- Model updates are expected to start in August–September







Multi-Party Studies

Questions?





Next SCRTP Meeting

- Review and discuss the initial results of the Economic Transfer Studies
- Review and discuss Multi-Party Assessment Studies
- SCRTP Email Distribution List will be notified
- Register online







South Carolina Regional Transmission Planning Stakeholder Meeting

Hilton Garden Inn – Charleston Airport (Magnolia-Hunley Room)
5265 International Blvd
North Charleston, SC 29418

June 13, 2019 10:00 – 12:00



