

South Carolina Regional Transmission Planning Stakeholder Meeting

Teams Meeting

October 30, 2024 10:00am – 11:00am

Purpose and Goals for Today's Meeting

- Review and Discuss the Initial Results of the Stakeholder Selected Economic Power Transfer Sensitivities
- FERC Order 1920 Update

Economic Transmission Planning Studies

Alex Morrison (DESC)

Sarah Arrants (SCPSA)

Emily Morgan (SCPSA)

Study Methodology

- Linear transfer analysis using PowerGem's TARA Software. This analysis includes select single contingencies while monitoring DESC's and Santee Cooper's internal Transmission Systems.
- A Thermal and Voltage analysis using PowerGem's TARA and/or PowerWorld Simulator Software. This analysis of DESC's and Santee Cooper's internal transmission systems included single contingencies, double contingencies and selected bus outages with and without the simulated transfer in effect. However, this analysis is not a complete testing of NERC TPL 001 standard.

Case Development

- The most current LTWG models were used for the systems external to DESC and SCPSA as a starting point for the study case(s).
- The study case(s) include the detailed internal models for DESC and SCPSA. The study case(s) include new transmission additions currently planned to be in-service for the given year (i.e. in-service by winter 2020 for 2020W case).
- Base Cases were used to build the transfer cases using the requested economic study requests.

Study Results

- DESC and SCPSA have reported results based on thermal loading and voltage violations in accordance with their planning criteria.
- Overloaded facilities that had a low response to the requested transfer were excluded and problems or issues identified that are local area in nature were also excluded.

2024 Economic Planning Scenarios

Selected by Stakeholders During the May 23, 2024 Meeting

| # | Source | Sink | Amount (MW) | Year | Study Conditions | Study Request |
|---|-----------|-------|-------------|----------|------------------|---------------------|
| 1 | SOCO/DUKE | SCPSA | 1200/1200 | 2033S | Retire Cross | SCPSA PM |
| 2 | SOCO/DUKE | SCPSA | 1200/1200 | 2033/34W | Retire Cross | Southern Renewables |
| 3 | SOCO/DUKE | SCPSA | 1200/1200 | 2028S | Reduce Sys Gen | Southern Renewables |
| 4 | SOCO/DUKE | SCPSA | 1200/1200 | 2028/29W | Reduce Sys Gen | Southern Renewables |
| 5 | SOCO | DESC | 300 | 2025S | Reduce Sys Gen | DESC PM |

Power Flow Base Cases

- 2024 LTWG Series PSSE Models with DESC and SCPSA 2024 Internal Model Updates
 - 2025 Summer
 - 2029 Summer
 - 2029/30 Winter
 - 2034 Summer
 - 2034/35 Winter

Preliminary Result Components

- The following information is preliminary and subject to change pursuant to additional analyses.
- The following information does not represent a commitment to proceed with the recommended enhancements nor implies that the recommended enhancements could be implemented by the study dates.
- These potential solutions only address constraints identified within the respective areas that comprise the SCRTP. Balancing Areas external to the SCRTP were not monitored, which could result in additional limitations and required system enhancements.

Scenario 1 & 2

**2033 Summer/Winter
DUK/SOCO – SCPSA 1200/1200 MW**

Preliminary Results – SCPSA

SOCO – SC 1200 MW & Duke – SC 1200 MW 2033S & 203334W Study

| Constrained Facility | % Base Loading | % Study Loading | Contingency | Project Solution |
|--|----------------|-----------------|---|--|
| Purrysburg – McIntosh #1/2 230 kV Line | 90% | 99% | Loss of Purrysburg – McIntosh #1/2 230 kV Line | SCPSA2, SCPSA3, SCPSA4, SCPSA10 |
| Low Voltages in the Bluffton Area | 0.94 p.u. | 0.86 p.u. | Loss of Bluffton – Purrysburg 230 kV Line | SCPSA2, SCPSA3, SCPSA4, SCPSA5 |
| Indian Field – Harleyville 115 kV Line | 43% | 110% | Loss of Indian Field – Wassamassaw 230 kV Line and St. George – Harleys Bridge 115 kV Line | SCPSA14 |
| St. George – St. George (DESC) #1/2 115 kV Line | 57% | 131% | Loss of St. George #1/2 115 kV Bus | SCPSA2, SCPSA3, SCPSA4 |
| Low Voltages on the Pinewood – Sandy Run 115 kV Line | 0.93 p.u. | 0.88 p.u. | Loss of Sandy Run 115 kV Bus #1 or #2 | SCPSA5, SCPSA9 |
| Darlington – Lake City 69 kV Line | 85% | 100% | Lake City 230 kV Bus Tie Breaker Failure Operation | SCPSA1 |
| Indian Field – St. George #1/2 115 kV Line | 27% | 113% | Loss of Indian Field – Varnville 230 kV Line and Indian Field – St. George #1/2 115 kV Line | SCPSA9 |

**Only select contingencies are shown*

Preliminary Results – SCPSA

SOCO – SC 1200 MW & Duke – SC 1200 MW

2033S & 203334W Study

| Constrained Facility | % Base Loading | % Study Loading | Contingency | Project Solution |
|--|----------------|-----------------|---|------------------|
| Bluffton – Purrysburg 230 kV Line | 103% | 128% | Loss of Jasper – Yemassee #2 230 kV Line and Okatie – Yemassee 230 kV Line | SCPSA8 |
| Purrysburg – Varnville 115 kV Line | 85% | 118% | Loss of Jasper – Yemassee #2 230 kV Line and Okatie – Yemassee 230 kV Line | SCPSA8 |
| Bluffton – Yemassee 230 kV Line | 85% | 120% | Loss of Jasper – Yemassee #2 230 kV Line and Okatie – Yemassee 230 kV Line | SCPSA8 |
| Bluffton 230 kV Bus Tie | 91% | 118% | Loss of Jasper – Yemassee #2 230 kV Line and Okatie – Yemassee 230 kV Line | SCPSA8 |
| Varnville – Yemassee 230 kV Line | 64% | 129% | Loss of Bluffton – Purrysburg 230 kV Line and Yemassee – Yemassee(DESC) 230 kV Line | SCPSA13 |
| St. George – St. George (DESC) 115 kV #1 & #2 115 kV Lines | 59% | 126% | Loss of Bluffton – Purrysburg 230 kV Line and Yemassee – Yemassee(DESC) 230 kV Line | SCPSA8 |
| Purrysburg – McIntosh #1/2 230 kV Line | 93% | 133% | Loss of Purrysburg – McIntosh #1/2 230 kV Line and Jasper | SCPSA10 |

**Only select contingencies are shown*

Preliminary Results – SCPSA

SOCO – SC 1200 MW & Duke – SC 1200 MW 2033S & 203334W Study

| Constrained Facility | % Base Loading | % Study Loading | Contingency | Project Solution |
|--|----------------|-----------------|---|---|
| Purrysburg – Jasper #1/2 230 kV Line | 71% | 102% | Loss of Purrysburg – Jasper #1/2 230 kV Line and Jasper | SCPSA8 |
| Newberry 230-69 kV Transformer #1/2 | 92% | 124% | Loss of Newberry 230-69 kV Transformer #1/2 and Pomaria – Newberry 230 kV Line | SCPSA6 |
| Bennettsville 230-69 kV Transformer #1/2 | 100% | 118% | Loss of Bennettsville 230-69 kV Transformer #1/2 and Bennettsville – Marion 230 kV Line | SCPSA7 |
| Blythewood 230-69 kV Transformer #1/2 | 114% | 131% | Loss of Blythewood 230-69 kV Transformer #1/2 and Blythewood - Lugoff 230 kV Line | SCPSA11, SCPSA12 |
| Columbia – Lyles (DESC) 115 kV Line | 90% | 138% | Loss of Aiken – Toolbeck (DESC) 230 kV Line and Newberry – Batesburg 230 kV Line | SCPSA15, SCPSA16 |
| Briggs – Thurmond 115 kV Line | 79% | 115% | Loss of SRS – Vogtle 230 kV Line and Toolebeck – South Augusta 230 kV Line | SCPSA5, SCPSA9 |
| Pomaria – Newberry 230 kV Line | 67% | 103% | Loss of Newport – VCS 230 kV Line and VCS | SCPSA5, SCPSA9 |
| Briggs – Aiken 115 kV Line | 78% | 110% | Loss of Aiken – Toolebeck 230 kV Line and Newberry – Batesburg 230 kV Line | SCPSA2, SCPSA3, SCPSA4, SCPSA5 |

**Only select contingencies are shown*

Preliminary Results – SCPSA

SOCO – SC 1200 MW & Duke – SC 1200 MW 2033S & 203334W Study

| Project | Description | Cost (\$M) | Duration (Months) |
|----------------|---|-------------------|--------------------------|
| SCPSA1 | Replace Darlington – Lake City 69 kV Line Limiting Elements | \$5.5 | 47 |
| SCPSA2 | Acquire new property and construct a new Barnwell 230 kV Switching Station configured for high reliability | \$80.3 | 65 |
| SCPSA3 | Construct a new 230 kV Line from Barnwell 230 kV Sw Sta to Southern Companies Vogtle 500-230 kV Substation with bundled 1272 ACSR | \$265.8 | 70 |
| SCPSA4 | Construct a new 230 kV Line from Barnwell 230 kV Sw Sta to Varnville 230 -115 kV Substation with bundled 1272 ACSR | \$277.2 | 82 |
| SCPSA5 | Construct a new 230 kV Line from Barnwell 230 kV Sw Sta to Orangeburg 230 - 115-69 kV Substation with bundled 1272 ACSR | \$303.9 | 65 |
| SCPSA6 | Replace Newberry 230-69 kV 100 MVA Transformers with 150 MVA Transformers | \$44.6 | 53 |
| SCPSA7 | Replace Bennettsville 230-69 kV Transformers 100 MVA Transformers with 150 MVA Transformers | \$44.6 | 53 |
| SCPSA8 | Construct a new 230 kV Line from Purrysburg 230-115 kV Substation to Varnville 230-115 kV Substation with bundled 1272 ACSR | \$420.4 | 94 |
| SCPSA9 | Construct a new 230 kV Line from the Indian Field 230-115 kV Substation to the Orangeburg 230-115-69 kV Substation | \$180.7 | 58 |

Preliminary Results – SCPSA

SOCO – SC 1200 MW & Duke – SC 1200 MW 2033S & 203334W Study

| Project | Description | Cost (\$M) | Duration (Months) |
|---------|---|---------------------|-------------------|
| SCPSA10 | Reconductor the Purrysburg – McIntosh #1 & #2 230 kV Lines with high temperature conductor. | Contingent Facility | |
| SCPSA11 | Acquire new property and construct a new Cedar Knoll 230-69 kV Substation in a standard reliability scheme. Fold the existing Pomaria – Sandy Run 230 kV Line, Blythewood – Pomaria 69 kV Line, and Blythewood – Columbia 69 kV Line into the new substation. | \$74.4 | 46 |
| SCPSA12 | Construct a new 230 kV Line from the Cedar Knoll 230-69 kV Substation to the Blythewood 230-69 kV Substation with bundled 1272 | \$47 | 53 |
| SCPSA13 | Rebuild the Yemassee – Varnville 230 kV Line as twin circuits with bundled 1272 ACSR | \$73.6 | 58 |
| SCPSA14 | Construct a new 230 kV Line from the Indian Field 230-115 kV Substation to the Wassamassaw 230-115 kV Substation. | \$208.8 | 46 |
| SCPSA15 | Move the Lexington delivery point from the Columbia – Lyles 115 kV Line to a new terminal at the Columbia 115-69 kV Substation | \$3.9 | 35 |
| SCPSA16 | Rebuild the Columbia – Lyles 115 kV Line with 795 ACSR | \$52.2 | 29 |
| Total | | \$2.08B | |

Preliminary Results – DESC

SOCO – SC 1200 MW & Duke – SC 1200 MW

2033S & 2033/34W Study

| Constrained Facility | % Base Loading | % Study Loading | Contingency | Project |
|---|----------------|-----------------|---|---------|
| Okatie – McIntosh 115 kV SOCO Tie | 100.3 | 136.7 | Open Purrysburg – McIntosh 230 kV #1 and #2 | DESC5 |
| St. George – St. George 115 kV #1 SCPSA Tie | <90 | 133.4 | Open St. George – St. George 115 kV #2 SCPSA Tie | DESC1 |
| Okatie – Yemassee 230 kV | 100.8 | 126.2 | Open Bluffton – Purrysburg 230 kV and Jasper – Yemassee 230 kV #2 | SC |
| Lyles – Columbia 115 kV SCPSA Tie | <90 | 124.5 | Open Toolebeck – Aiken 230 kV SCPSA Tie and Batesburg – Newberry 230 kV | DESC6 |
| Jasper – Yemassee 230 kV #2 | 98.1 | 123.6 | Open Bluffton – Purrysburg 230 kV and Okatie – Yemassee 230 kV | SC |
| Faber Place Bus Sections | <90 | 121.5 | Open Williams – Charity 230 kV SCPSA Tie and Carnes – Mateeba 230 kV | DESC7 |

*DESC has Op Guides to reduce some base case overloads that would not be relied on in scenarios 1-2.

**Potentially overloaded or heavily loaded facilities that had a low response to the requested transfer were excluded and problems or issues identified that are local area in nature were excluded.

***DESC mitigating projects assume inclusion of SCPSA mitigating projects in scenarios 1-2.

Preliminary Results – DESC

SOCO – SC 1200 MW & Duke – SC 1200 MW

2033S & 2033/34W Study

| Constrained Facility | % Base Loading | % Study Loading | Contingency | Project |
|--|----------------|-----------------|---|---------|
| VCS1 – Newport 230 kV DEC Tie | <90 | 120.9 | Open VCS1 GSU and Oconee – S Hall 500 kV Line | DESC2 |
| Okatie – Yemassee 115 kV | <90 | 115.5 | Open Purrysburg - McIntosh 230 kV #1 and #2 | DESC5 |
| Jasper – Purrysburg 230kV #2 SCPSA Tie | <90 | 111.8 | Open all Jasper units and Jasper – Purrysburg 230 kV #1 SCPSA Tie | SC |
| Jasper – Purrysburg 230kV #1 SCPSA Tie | <90 | 111.7 | Open all Jasper units and Jasper – Purrysburg 230 kV #2 SCPSA Tie | SC |
| Okatie Bus Ties | <90 | 109.4 | Open Jasper – Yemassee 230 kV #2 and Jasper – Okatie 230 kV #1 | SC |
| Graniteville #2 – Sand Bar Ferry 115 kV SOCO Tie | <90 | 103.2 | Open Toolebeck – South Augusta 230 kV SOCO Tie and SRS – Vogtle 230 kV SOCO Tie | SC |

*DESC has Op Guides to reduce some base case overloads that would not be relied on in scenarios 1-2.

**Potentially overloaded or heavily loaded facilities that had a low response to the requested transfer were excluded and problems or issues identified that are local area in nature were excluded.

***DESC mitigating projects assume inclusion of SCPSA mitigating projects in scenarios 1-2.

Preliminary Results – DESC

SOCO – SC 1200 MW & Duke – SC 1200 MW

2033S & 2033/34W Study

| Constrained Facility | % Base Loading | % Study Loading | Contingency | Project |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--|----------------|
| Dawson – Faber Place 230 kV | <90 | 103 | Open Church Creek – Ritter 230 kV and Dawson – Church Creek 230 kV | DESC3 |
| Church Creek – Ritter 230 kV | <90 | 101.4 | Open Canadys – Dawson 230 kV #1 and #2 | DESC4 |
| Barnwell – SRS 115 kV | <90 | 99.7 | Open Canadys – SRS 230 kV and Urquhart – Graniteville 230 kV | SC |
| Jasper Bus Ties | <90 | 97 | Open Jasper – Purrysburg 230 kV #2 SCPSA Tie and Jasper – Okatie 230 kV #1 | SC |
| Jasper – Okatie 230 kV #2 | <90 | 95 | Open Jasper – Yemassee 230 kV #2 and Jasper – Okatie 230 kV #1 | SC |
| Low Voltage in Southern Area | >0.95 p.u. | 0.928 p.u. (AVG) | Open Bus at Williams | SC |

*DESC has Op Guides to reduce some base case overloads that would not be relied on in scenarios 1-2.

**Potentially overloaded or heavily loaded facilities that had a low response to the requested transfer were excluded and problems or issues identified that are local area in nature were excluded.

***DESC mitigating projects assume inclusion of SCPSA mitigating projects in scenarios 1-2.

Preliminary Results – DESC

SOCO – SC 1200 MW & Duke – SC 1200 MW

2033S & 2033/34W Study

| Project | Description | Cost (\$M) | Duration (Months) |
|----------------|---|-------------------|--------------------------|
| DESC1 | Upgrade limiting elements on St. George – St. George 115 kV #1 SCPSA Tie. | 0.045 | 18 |
| DESC2 | Upgrade VCS1 – Newport 230 kV to B-1272 ACSR. | 15.304 | 30 |
| DESC3 | Upgrade Dawson – Faber Place 230 kV to B-1272 ACSR. | 57.600 | 48 |
| DESC4 | Upgrade Church Creek – Ritter 230 kV to B-1272 ACSR. | 55.001 | 54 |
| DESC5 | Construct 9% series reactor on Okatie – McIntosh 115 kV SOCO Tie. | 4.727 | 36 |
| DESC6 | Upgrade limiting elements on Lyles – Columbia 115 kV SCPSA Tie. | 0.039 | 18 |
| DESC7 | Upgrade Faber Place Bus Sections. | 0.105 | 24 |
| TOTAL | | 132.821 | 54 |

Scenario 3 & 4

**2028 Summer/Winter
DUK/SOCO – SCPSA 1200/1200 MW**

Preliminary Results – SCPSA

SOCO – SC 1200 MW & Duke – SC 1200 MW

2028S & 202829W Study

| Constrained Facility | % Base Loading | % Study Loading | Contingency | Project |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---|--|
| Purrysburg – McIntosh #1/2 230kV Line | 94% | 116% | Loss of Purrysburg – McIntosh #1/2 230 kV Line and Loss of Jasper | SCPSA2, SCPSA3, SCPSA4, SCPSA10 |
| Briggs Road – Thurmond 115kV Line | 77% | 108% | Loss of Toolebeck 230 kV Bus | SCPSA2, SCPSA3, SCPSA4 |
| Darlington – Lake City 69 kV Line | 83% | 100% | Lake City 230 kV Bus Tie Breaker Failure Operation | SCPSA1 |
| Purrysburg – Varnville 115 kV Line | 76% | 115% | Loss of Okatie – Yemassee 230 kV Line and Jasper – Yemassee 230 kV #2 Line | SCPSA8 |
| Bluffton – Purrysburg 230 kV Line | 81% | 104% | Loss of Okatie – Yemassee 230 kV Line and Jasper – Yemassee 230 kV #2 Line | SCPSA8 |
| Bluffton – Yemassee 230 kV Line | 77% | 109% | Loss of Okatie – Yemassee 230 kV Line and Jasper – Yemassee 230 kV #2 Line | SCPSA8 |
| Blythewood 230-69 kV Transformer #1/2 | 113% | 126% | Loss of Blythewood Transformer #1/2 and Blythewood – Lugoff 230 kV Line | SCPSA11, SCPSA12 |
| Newberry 230-69 kV Transformer #1/2 | 102% | 124% | Loss of Newberry Transformer #1/2 and Newberry – Pomaria 230 kV Line | SCPSA6 |
| Columbia – Lyles (DESC) 115 kV Line | 89% | 123% | Loss of Newberry – Batesburg 230 kV Line and Aiken #3 – Toolebeck (DESC) 230 kV Tie | SCPSA15, SCPSA16 |

**Only select contingencies are shown*

Preliminary Results – SCPSA

SOCO – SC 1200 MW & Duke – SC 1200 MW

2028S & 202829W Study

| Constrained Facility | % Base Loading | % Study Loading | Contingency | Project |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------|--|------------------------------|
| St. George – St. George (DESC) #1 115 kV Line | 60% | 123% | Loss of St. George #2 115 kV Bus | SCPSA2, SCPSA3, SCPSA4 |
| Briggs Road – Aiken 115 kV Line | 79% | 105% | Loss of Newberry – Batesburg 230 kV Line and Aiken #3 – Toolebeck (DESC) 230 kV Tie | SCPSA2, SCPSA3, SCPSA4 |
| Indian Field – Harleyville 115 kV Line | 48% | 107% | Loss of Indian Field – Wassamassaw 230 kV Line and St. George – Harleys Bridge 115 kV Line | SCPSA14 |
| Briggs Road – Thurmond 115 kV Line | 82% | 131% | Loss of SRS – Vogtle 230 kV Line and Toolebeck – South Augusta 230 kV Line | SCPSA5, SCPSA9 |

**Only select contingencies are shown*

Preliminary Results – SCPSA

SOCO – SC 1200 MW & Duke – SC 1200 MW

2028S & 202829W Study

| Project | Description | Cost (\$M) | Duration (Months) |
|---------|---|---------------------|-------------------|
| SCPSA1 | Replace Darlington – Lake City 69 kV Line Limiting Elements | \$5.5 | 47 |
| SCPSA2 | Acquire new property and construct a new Barnwell 230 kV Switching Station configured for high reliability | \$80.3 | 65 |
| SCPSA3 | Construct a new 230 kV Line from Barnwell 230 kV Sw Sta to Southern Companies Vogtle 500-230 kV Substation with bundled 1272 ACSR | \$265.8 | 70 |
| SCPSA4 | Construct a new 230 kV Line from Barnwell 230 kV Sw Sta to Varnville 230 -115 kV Substation with bundled 1272 ACSR | \$277.2 | 82 |
| SCPSA5 | Construct a new 230 kV Line from Barnwell 230 kV Sw Sta to Orangeburg 230 -115-69 kV Substation with bundled 1272 ACSR | \$303.9 | 65 |
| SCPSA6 | Replace Newberry 230-69 kV 100 MVA Transformers with 150 MVA Transformers | \$44.6 | 53 |
| SCPSA8 | Construct a new 230 kV Line from Purrysburg 230-115 kV Substation to Varnville 230-115 kV Substation with bundled 1272 ACSR | \$420.4 | 94 |
| SCPSA9 | Construct a new 230 kV Line from the Indian Field 230-115 kV Substation to the Orangeburg 230-115-69 kV Substation | \$180.7 | 58 |
| SCPSA10 | Reconductor the Purrysburg – McIntosh #1 & #2 230 kV Lines with high temperature conductor. | Contingent Facility | |

Preliminary Results – SCPSA

SOCO – SC 1200 MW & Duke – SC 1200 MW 2028S & 202829W Study

| Project | Description | Cost (\$M) | Duration (Months) |
|---------|---|------------|-------------------|
| SCPSA11 | Acquire new property and construct a new Cedar Knoll 230-69 kV Substation in a standard reliability scheme. Fold the existing Pomaria – Sandy Run 230 kV Line, Blythewood – Pomaria 69 kV Line, and Blythewood – Columbia 69 kV Line into the new substation. | \$74.4 | 46 |
| SCPSA12 | Construct a new 230 kV Line from the Cedar Knoll 230-69 kV Substation to the Blythewood 230-69 kV Substation with bundled 1272 | \$47 | 53 |
| SCPSA14 | Construct a new 230 kV Line from the Indian Field 230-115 kV Substation to the Wassamassaw 230-115 kV Substation. | \$208.8 | 46 |
| SCPSA15 | Move the Lexington delivery point from the Columbia – Lyles 115 kV Line to a new terminal at the Columbia 115-69 kV Substation | \$3.9 | 35 |
| SCPSA16 | Rebuild the Columbia – Lyles 115 kV Line with 795 ACSR | \$52.2 | 29 |
| Total | | \$1.96B | |

Preliminary Results – DESC

SOCO – SC 1200 MW & Duke – SC 1200 MW

2028S & 2028/29W Study

| Constrained Facility | % Base Loading | % Study Loading | Contingency | Project |
|--|----------------|-----------------|---|---------|
| Dawson – Church Creek 230 kV | 99.1 | 136.4 | Open Church Creek - Ritter 230 kV and Dawson – Faber Place 230 kV | DESC11 |
| Graniteville #2 – Sand Bar Ferry 115 kV SOCO Tie | <90 | 122.9 | Open Toolebeck – South Augusta 230 kV SOCO Tie and SRS – Vogtle 230 kV SOCO Tie | DESC2 |
| Okatie – McIntosh 115 kV SOCO Tie | <90 | 120.2 | Open Purrysburg – McIntosh 230 kV #1 and #2 | DESC4 |
| Okatie – Yemassee 230 kV | <90 | 119.8 | Open Bluffton – Purrysburg 230 kV and Jasper – Yemassee 230 kV #2 | SC |
| Jasper – Yemassee 230 kV #2 | <90 | 116.7 | Open Bluffton – Purrysburg 230 kV and Okatie – Yemassee 230 kV | SC |
| St. George – St. George 115 kV #1 SCPSA Tie | <90 | 116.32 | Open St. George – St. George 115 kV #2 SCPSA Tie | DESC1 |
| Faber Place Bus Sections | <90 | 112.6 | Open Williams – Charity 230 kV SCPSA Tie and Carnes – Mateeba 230 kV | DESC3 |

*DESC has Op Guides to reduce some base case overloads that would not be relied on in scenarios 3-4.

**Potentially overloaded or heavily loaded facilities that had a low response to the requested transfer were excluded and problems or issues identified that are local area in nature were excluded.

***DESC mitigating projects assume inclusion of SCPSA mitigating projects in scenarios 3-4.

Preliminary Results – DESC

SOCO – SC 1200 MW & Duke – SC 1200 MW

2028S & 2028/29W Study

| Constrained Facility | % Base Loading | % Study Loading | Contingency | Project |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---|----------------|
| Lyles – Columbia 115 kV SCPSA Tie | <90 | 112 | Open Toolebeck – Aiken 230 kV SCPSA Tie and Batesburg – Newberry 230 kV | DESC9 |
| Okatie – Yemassee 115 kV | <90 | 104.3 | Open Purrysburg – McIntosh 230 kV #1 and #2 | DESC4 |
| VCS1 – Newport 230 kV DEC Tie | <90 | 102.6 | Open VCS1 GSU and Oconee – S Hall 500 kV Line | DESC5 |
| Barnwell – SRS 115kV | <90 | 101.2 | Open Canadys – SRS 230 kV and Urquhart – Graniteville 230 kV | DESC10 |
| Okatie BusTies | <90 | 100.8 | Open Jasper – Yemassee 230 kV #2 and Jasper – Okatie 230 kV #1 | SC |
| Hooks – Thurmond 115kV SEPA Tie | <90 | 100.7 | Open Toolebeck – South Augusta 230 kV SOCO Tie and Briggs Rd – Clarks Hill 115 kV | DESC6 |

*DESC has Op Guides to reduce some base case overloads that would not be relied on in scenarios 3-4.

**Potentially overloaded or heavily loaded facilities that had a low response to the requested transfer were excluded and problems or issues identified that are local area in nature were excluded.

***DESC mitigating projects assume inclusion of SCPSA mitigating projects in scenarios 3-4.

Preliminary Results – DESC

SOCO – SC 1200 MW & Duke – SC 1200 MW

2028S & 2028/29W Study

| Constrained Facility | % Base Loading | % Study Loading | Contingency | Project |
|---|----------------|------------------|--|------------------------------|
| Church Creek – Ritter 230 kV | <90 | 100.5 | Open Canadys – Dawson 230 kV #1 and #2 | DESC7 |
| Dawson – Faber Place 230 kV | <90 | 99.6 | Open Church Creek – Ritter 230 kV and Dawson – Church Creek 230 kV | DESC8 |
| Jasper – Purrysburg 230 kV #2 SCPSA Tie | <90 | 99.2 | Open all Jasper units and Jasper – Purrysburg 230 kV #1 SCPSA Tie | SC |
| Jasper – Purrysburg 230 kV #1 SCPSA Tie | <90 | 99 | Open all Jasper units and Jasper – Purrysburg 230 kV #2 SCPSA Tie | SC |
| SRS 336 MVA Bank #1 | <90 | 96.5 | Open Urquhart – Graniteville 230 kV and SRS 336 MVA Bank #2 | DESC10 |
| SRS 336 MVA Bank #2 | <90 | 96.5 | Open Urquhart – Graniteville 230 kV and SRS 336 MVA Bank #1 | DESC10 |
| Low Voltage in Southern Area | >0.95 p.u. | 0.903 p.u. (AVG) | Open Bus at Williams | DESC7, DESC8, DESC10, DESC11 |

*DESC has Op Guides to reduce some base case overloads that would not be relied on in scenarios 3-4.

**Potentially overloaded or heavily loaded facilities that had a low response to the requested transfer were excluded and problems or issues identified that are local area in nature were excluded.

***DESC mitigating projects assume inclusion of SCPSA mitigating projects in scenarios 3-4.

Preliminary Results – DESC

SOCO – SC 1200 MW & Duke – SC 1200 MW

2028S & 2028/29W Study

| Project | Description | Cost (\$M) | Duration (Months) |
|----------------|--|-------------------|--------------------------|
| DESC1 | Upgrade limiting elements on St. George – St. George 115 kV #1 SCPSA Tie. | 0.045 | 18 |
| DESC2 | Add 6% series reactor to Graniteville #2 – Sand Bar Ferry 115 kV SOCO Tie. | 4.567 | 36 |
| DESC3 | Upgrade Faber Place Bus Sections. | 0.105 | 24 |
| DESC4 | Construct 9% series reactor on Okatie – McIntosh 115 kV SOCO Tie. | 4.727 | 36 |
| DESC5 | Upgrade VCS1 – Newport 230 kV to 1272 ACSR. | 12.000 | 30 |
| DESC6 | Upgrade breaker drops at Hooks to 1272 ACSR. | 0.030 | 18 |
| DESC7 | Upgrade Church Creek – Ritter 230 kV to B-1272 ACSR. | 55.001 | 54 |
| DESC8 | Upgrade Dawson – Faber Place 230 kV to B-1272 ACSR. | 57.600 | 48 |
| DESC9 | Upgrade limiting elements on Lyles – Columbia 115 kV SCPSA Tie. | 0.039 | 18 |
| DESC10 | Rebuild Canadys – SRS 230 kV as double circuit with 1272 ACSR. | 83.000 | 60 |
| TOTAL | | 217.114 | 60 |

Preliminary Results – DESC

SOCO – SC 1200 MW & Duke – SC 1200 MW

2028S & 2028/29W Study

| Project | Description | Cost (\$M) | Duration (Months) |
|----------------|--|-------------------|--------------------------|
| DESC11 | Upgrade Dawson – Church Creek 230 kV to B-1272 ACSR. | - | 50 |
| TOTAL | | 217.114 | 60 |

*DESC11 is an existing planned DESC project that will not be in service by Summer 2028.

Scenario 5

**2025 Summer
SOCO – DESC 300 MW**

Preliminary Results – SCPSA

SOCO – DESC 300 MW

2025S Study

| Constrained Facility | % Base Loading | % Study Loading | Contingency | Project |
|--|-----------------------|------------------------|--|----------------|
| Purrysburg – McIntosh 230 kV #1/2 Line | 103% | 107% | Loss of Purrysburg – McIntosh 230 kV #1/2 and Loss of Jasper | SCPSA10 |

Preliminary Results – SCPSA

SOCO – DESC 300 MW

2025S Study

| Project | Description | Cost (\$M) | Duration (Months) |
|----------------|---|-------------------|--------------------------|
| SCPSA10 | Reconductor the Purrysburg – McIntosh #1 & #2 230 kV Lines with high temperature conductor. | | Contingent Facility |

Preliminary Results – DESC

SOCO – DESC 300 MW

2025 Summer Study

| Constrained Facility | % Base Loading | % Study Loading | Contingency | Project |
|--|-----------------------|------------------------|---|----------------|
| Ritter – Yemassee 230 kV | 111.6 | 116.6 | Open Mateeba – Yemassee 230 kV and Canadys – Yemassee 230 kV | DESC1 |
| Canadys – Yemassee 230 kV | 111.7 | 118 | Open Ritter – Yemassee 230 kV and Mateeba – Yemassee 230 kV | DESC1 & DESC2 |
| Jasper – Okatie 230 kV | 108.5 | 112.4 | Open Bluffton – Purrysburg 230 kV and Jasper – Yemassee 230 kV #2 | DESC3 |
| Graniteville #2 – Sand Bar Ferry 115 kV SOCO Tie | 103.4 | 110.6 | Open Toolebeck – South Augusta 230 kV SOCO Tie and SRS – Vogtle 230 kV SOCO Tie | OpGuide |
| Jasper – Yemassee 230 kV #2 | 105.7 | 109.7 | Open Bluffton – Purrysburg 230 kV and Jasper – Okatie 230 kV | OpGuide |
| Yemassee Bus Ties | 103.1 | 105.6 | Open Bluffton – Purrysburg 230 kV and Jasper – Yemassee 230 kV #2 | DESC1 |

*Potentially overloaded or heavily loaded facilities that had a low response to the requested transfer were excluded and problems or issues identified that are local area in nature were excluded.

Preliminary Results – DESC

SOCO – DESC 300 MW

2025 Summer Study

| Constrained Facility | % Base Loading | % Study Loading | Contingency | Project |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---|----------------|
| Okatie – Yemassee 230 kV | 98 | 101.7 | Open Bluffton – Purrysburg 230 kV and Jasper – Yemassee 230 kV #2 | OpGuide |
| Faber Place Bus Sections | 100.9 | 102.6** | Open Carnes 230 kV bus | DESC5 |
| Church Creek – Faber Place 115 kV | 94.2 | 99.8** | Open Faber Place 115-230 kV Bank #1 and #2 | DESC4 |
| Church Creek – Ritter 230 kV | <90 | 102** | Open Yemassee – Mateeba 230 kV and Canadys – Yemassee 230 kV | DESC2 |

*Potentially overloaded or heavily loaded facilities that had a low response to the requested transfer were excluded and problems or issues identified that are local area in nature were excluded.

**Overloaded after adding DESC1 to the transfer case.

Preliminary Results – DESC

SOCO – DESC 300 MW

2025 Summer Study

| Project | Description | Cost (\$M) | Duration (Months) |
|----------------|--|-------------------|--------------------------|
| DESC1 | Construct Yemassee– Ritter 230 kV #1 & #2 SPDC with B-1272. | - | 19 |
| DESC2 | Build Canadys – Ritter 230 kV B-1272 ACSR. | 17.159 | 42 |
| DESC3 | Construct Jasper – Okatie 230 kV #2. | - | 14 |
| DESC4 | Church Creek – Faber Place – Charleston Transmission: Add 230 kV Line. | - | 30 |
| DESC5 | Upgrade Faber Place Bus Sections. | 0.105 | 24 |
| TOTAL | | 17.264 | 42 |

*DESC1, DESC3, and DESC4 are existing planned DESC projects that will not be in service by Summer 2025.

2024 Economic Planning Scenarios

Linear Transfer Results – DESC & SCPSA

| # | Source | Sink | Amount (MW) | Year | FCITC Limit | Limit/Contingency |
|---|----------|------|-------------|----------|----------------|-------------------|
| 1 | SOCO/DEC | SC | 1200/1200 | 2033S | No Limit Found | N/A |
| 2 | SOCO/DEC | SC | 1200/1200 | 2033/34W | No Limit Found | N/A |
| 3 | SOCO/DEC | SC | 1200/1200 | 2028S | No Limit Found | N/A |
| 4 | SOCO/DEC | SC | 1200/1200 | 2028/29W | No Limit Found | N/A |
| 5 | SOCO | DESC | 300 | 2025S | No Limit Found | N/A |

*All projects identified in thermal and voltage analysis were included in the Linear Transfer Analysis.

FERC Order 1920 Update

FERC ORDER 1920

- Landmark Order requiring major changes to regional transmission planning process and cost allocation
- Build on Orders 890 and 1000
- Compliance deadline June 12, 2025

FERC ORDER 1920 Highlights

- 20-year planning horizon
- At least three “Long-Term Scenarios” that incorporate various assumptions using best available data inputs
- Seven required benefits for potential long-term regional transmission facilities:
 1. avoiding or deferring reliability transmission infrastructure replacement
 2. reducing loss of load probability or planning reserve margin
 3. increasing production cost savings
 4. reducing transmission energy losses
 5. reducing congestion due to transmission outages
 6. mitigating of extreme weather events and unexpected system conditions
 7. increasing capacity cost benefits by reducing peak energy losses

FERC ORDER 1920 Highlights

- one or more ex ante cost allocation methods to allocate the costs of facilities (or a portfolio of facilities) selected as solutions to long-term transmission needs.

FERC ORDER 1920

SCRTP

- SCRTP plans to merge with SERTP as part of compliance with Order 1920
- Plans have been announced on SCRTP and SERTP websites
- Compliance efforts will be conducted jointly as part of SERTP compliance process
- All updates provided by means of [Southeastern Regional Transmission Planning | Home | Southeastern Regional Transmission Planning](#) and subscribing to SERTP mailbox